


**CIVIL JURY TRIAL  
MINUTE SHEET**DATE: January 11, 2011

<b>United States District Court</b>	<b>Eastern District of Virginia - Richmond Division</b>
CASE TITLE e-Plus, Inc.  v. Lawson Software, Inc.,	CASE NO: 3:09CV00620  JUDGE: Payne  COURT REPORTER: Diane Daffron, Peppy P... OCS

MATTER COMES ON FOR: JURY TRIAL ( ☒ ) <sup>Day 5</sup> MOTIONS BEFORE TRIAL: \_\_\_\_\_**TRIAL PROCEEDINGS:**

JURY: APPEARED, SWORN, EXAMINED ON VOIR DIRE ( ) EMPANELED, SWORN TO TRY ISSUE ( )

WITNESSES EXCLUDED ON MOTION OF: PLAINTIFF(S) ( ) DEFENDANT(S) ( ) COURT ( )

OPENING STATEMENTS MADE ( ) OPENING WAIVED ( )

PLAINTIFF(S) ADDUCED EVIDENCE ( ) RESTED ( ) MOTION ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

DEFENDANT(S) ADDUCED EVIDENCE ( ) RESTED ( ) MOTION ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

REBUTTAL EVIDENCE ADDUCED ( ) SUR-REBUTTAL EVIDENCE ADDUCED ( )

EVIDENCE CONCLUDED ( ) ARGUMENTS OF COUNSEL HEARD ( )

JURY CHARGED BY THE COURT ( ) ALTERNATE JUROR(S) DISCHARGED ( )

OBJECTIONS AND/OR EXCEPTIONS TO THE JURY CHARGE NOTED BY \* JURY OUT: \_\_\_\_\_  
DEFENDANT ( ) GOVERNMENT ( ) NONE NOTED ( ) \*\* JURY IN: \_\_\_\_\_

INQUIRIES OF THE JURY RECEIVED; ANSWERED ( ) ADDITIONAL CHARGE ( )

JURY RETURNED VERDICT IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF(S) ( ) MONETARY AWARD \$ \_\_\_\_\_

JURY RETURNED VERDICT IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANT(S) ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

JURY UNABLE TO AGREE ( ) MISTRIAL DECLARED ( ) JURY DISCHARGED ( )

CLERK TO ENTER JUDGMENT ON VERDICT ( ) TRIAL EXHIBITS RETURNED TO COUNSEL ( )

CASE CONTINUED UNTIL \_\_\_\_\_ AT \_\_\_\_\_ .M. FOR \_\_\_\_\_

MOTION(S) AFTER VERDICT: See page 2 attached for further minute entry.Counsel for the Plaintiff(s): Scott L. Robertson, Esq. Michael G. Strapp, Esq. Craig T. Merritt, Esq.  
David Young, Esq. Jennifer A. Alber, Esq.Counsel for the Defendant(s): Daniel W. McDonald, Esq. William D. Schultz, Esq. Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esq.  
Kirstin L. Stoll-Debell, Esq.SET: 9:30 a.m. BEGAN: 9:30 a.m. VOIR DIRE: ENDED: 5:05 p.m. TIME IN COURT: 5 hrs. 15 mins.RECESSES: 20 mins. 1 hr. 20 mins.

Minute Continuation Sheet : Matter came on continuation of Jury Trial Proceedings Day 5:  
Jurors appeared; all present. The Court ordered that lunch be provided for the jurors. Plaintiff  
continued to adduce evidence. Lunch recess had. Question rec'd from jurors and addressed by the  
Court in Open Court. Plaintiff continued to adduce evidence. Jurors sent home at 5:00 p.m. to  
return tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. for further trial proceedings. Court adjourned at 5:05 p.m.

If Lawson has contractual  
and non-contractual

Punchout Partners, what is  
the point of the contract  
if Lawson is doing the  
same work without the  
contract?

Kearne Wight

What is the difference  
between the contractual  
and non-contractual  
Punchout Partners?

(from Mr. Lohkamp's testimony)

Cart Exp. 2